

# STATE OF INDIANA



INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
302 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE E-306  
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2764

**FILED**

<http://www.state.in.us/iurc/>  
Office: (317) 232-2701  
Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

INDIANA UTILITY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE INDIANA )  
UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION'S )  
INVESTIGATION OF MATTERS )  
RELATED TO COMPETITION IN THE )  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY IN )  
THE STATE OF INDIANA PURSUANT TO )  
IND. CODE 8-1-2 et seq.

CAUSE NO. 42530

You are hereby notified that on this date the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("Commission") has caused the following entry to be made:

The evidentiary hearing in this Cause commences on June 28, 2005 at 9:30 a.m., in Room CCR 32 of the Indiana Government Center South, Indianapolis, Indiana. All prefilings are completed. The Commission has questions for the parties and the presiding officers hereby find it appropriate to issue the questions herein in lieu of bench questions at the hearing. The parties should file written responses to the following questions by noon, Monday, June 27, 2005:

## Fritch

1. On page 15 of his responsive testimony, Mr. Fritch discusses the IURC orders that require ILECs to make their CSO contracts available for resale. He goes on to state that SBC Indiana allows resellers to assume existing contracts – including CSO contracts.
  - a. Please explain the process a CLEC would need to follow in order to assume a CSO contract.
  - b. Would the contract only be available for resale to the same customer with which the ILEC contracted?
  - c. Has any CLEC ever assumed or resold any of SBC's CSO contracts?
  - d. How would a CLEC become aware of contracts available for resale?

## Aron/Currie

2. In SBC's testimony Dr. Currie and Dr. Aron state that fill factors and shared and common costs should be different in a TSLRIC study versus a TELRIC study. In Cause No. 42393 the IURC issued an order that determined many inputs in a TELRIC cost study. Specifically, the IURC determined network design, fill factor, cost of capital, depreciation, shared and common costs, annual cost factors (expense, investment, support assets, inflation and productivity factors), and labor rates.
  - a. For each of the items not discussed by Dr. Currie and Dr. Aron, please explain whether these inputs should be the same in a TSLRIC study versus a TELRIC study.

- b. Furthermore, please explain if the inputs described above determined in Cause No. 42393 are used in SBC's current TSLRIC study. If the inputs are different, please explain why.

Sherwood/ Jones/Burrow

3. Please explain what types of cost studies your company does when pricing CSOs.  
4. Would your company be able to provide the same type of cost support that is recommended for ILECs to provide?


Dye


5. Beginning on page 17 of her direct testimony filed on June 1, 2005, Ms. Muscat outlines problems that SBC has experienced regarding CLEC migration procedures. Does Verizon experience these problems also? If so, please expand on the scope and frequency of the problem.


All Parties

6. What percent of your customers subscribe to a bundle or package?

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
William D. McCarty, Chairman

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Abby R. Gray, Administrative Law Judge

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date